Chelonian Conservation and Biology, 2019, 18(2): 265–272 doi:10.2744/CCB-1363.1 © 2019 Chelonian Research Foundation

Recaptured Wild Green Turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) with Newly Documented Boat Strike Injuries in Mabul Island, Sabah, Malaysia

JIUN LANG PHU AND PUSHPA PALANIAPPAN^{*}

Borneo Marine Research Institute, Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Jalan UMS, 88400 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia [jonathanjiunlang@hotmail.com; pushpa@ums.edu.my] *Corresponding author

ABSTRACT. – We conducted physical examinations of 8 green turtles (*Chelonia mydas*) with boat strike injuries caught repeatedly near Mabul Island (lat 4.246°N, long 118.630°E), Malaysia, where 1 adult female showed evidence of newly recorded injuries in every capture. The healing progress of boat strike injuries on this turtle and 7 other green turtles of various size classes with similar injuries was documented through repetitive captures from between August 2010 and November 2017. We provide the first report of its kind on the incidence of newly documented boat strike injuries on repeatedly captured wild green turtles at their foraging ground.

Motorized boats have long been known to negatively affect marine organisms (Dawes et al. 1997; Burger 1998; Minchin et al. 2006; Hardiman and Burgin 2010; Belz et al. 2012; Whitfield and Becker 2014). Vessel strikes have been documented on marine animals such as fishes (Whitfield and Becker 2014), Indo-Pacific Humpback and Irrawaddy dolphins (Hashim and Jaaman 2011), manatees (Laist and Shaw 2006), dugongs (Hodgson and Marsh 2007), and whales (Carrillo and Ritter 2010). Sea turtles have also been subjected to vessel strikes in many parts of the world (Hazel and Gyuris 2006; Hazel et al. 2007; Work et al. 2010; Denkinger et al. 2013; Barco et al. 2016). The mortality of marine animals caused by vessel collisions is evident from the notable external wounds found on their bodies (Hazel and Gyuris 2006; Carrillo and Ritter 2010; Eguchi et al. 2010). It has been suggested that boat strike incidents are more frequent in areas where the human population and motorized boat operations are dense (Davenport and Davenport 2006; Hazel and Gyuris 2006) and in areas where fisheries activities are intensive (Alves et al. 2013).

Mabul Island (lat 4.246°N, long 118.630°E) is a 0.3-km² island with an estimated reef area of 2 km². It is located approximately 15 km off the coast of Semporna, Sabah (Malaysia), and is also part of the Coral Triangle (Veron et al. 2011). It is recognized as a tourist hot spot due to the diversity of the marine life found in the waters

off this island. The island is inhabited by local communities and tourists staying in resorts and backpacker accommodation. Motorized boats (engine capacity of 600–700 cc) are the main mode of transportation around this island, and boat collisions with marine animals can be an issue of concern. Sightings of green (*Chelonia mydas*) and hawksbill (*Eretmochelys imbricata*) turtles off the reef areas by SCUBA divers are common, and thus a study of their vulnerability to boat strikes at their foraging ground is crucial for the survival of these species.

Methods. — A long-term sea turtle project was conducted at Mabul Island since 2010 using the mark–recapture method (Palaniappan and Haziq Harith 2017). Wild sea turtles were hand captured by the SCUBA diving team at the established dive sites around the island. The turtles were then brought onto the research vessel for physical examination as well as measured, photographed, and tagged.

Results and Discussion. — Among the 535 individual sea turtles caught between August 2010 and November 2017, 172 (155 greens and 17 hawksbills) were caught more than once. A total of 34 green turtles were found with 1 boat strike injury each, while 12 other green turtles were found with more than 1 injury. However, only 8 green turtles (5 juveniles, 2 subadults, and 1 adult female) showed signs of newly documented injuries during their recaptures (Table 1) and will be the focus of this article. Among these 8 turtles, the adult female green turtle (Turtle 8) was captured 3 times with newly recorded boat strike injuries in every capture.

Among the turtles in this study, Turtle 8 was the only one to have Inconel flipper tags applied during nesting; therefore, records of her nesting activity were available. Based on the nesting data provided by the Sabah Parks Board of Trustees (unpubl. data), Turtle 8 had nested 18 times between 2000 and 2011 in Gulisaan Island (lat 6.1494°N, long 118.054°E), 1 of the 3 islands of the Turtle Islands Park located 221 km away from Mabul Island and approximately 25 km off the coast of Sandakan, Malaysia. This turtle was captured off Mabul Island for the first time on 15 May 2014 and observed to have 2 boat strike lacerations (Fig. 1).

Two injuries (Fig. 1A, B) were found on Turtle 8. Neither showed any evidence of hemorrhage and exudate, and low vascularity was noted at the wound sites; hence, they were categorized as being at the maturation stage, in accordance with Mettee (2013). The healing progress of this turtle following each of 3 boat strike injuries is documented in Table 1. We found a piece of the fractured carapace that was pierced into the laceration on Turtle 8 (Fig. 2; Table 1). It was not removed, as it was feared that this might have been hazardous to the turtle's health. The healing progress remains undocumented because this turtle was not seen again.

Observations of all the wounds found on the 8 turtles (Table 1) suggested that 88.2% were proliferation injuries

Additional information	sed internal tissues	itional multiple short stripe arks on hind part of	proximately 4 cm apart	om each other		ulted in a broken scute an edges of the broken scute	process of the other or and other of the branch of the fragment of the fragment of the fragment of the other of the other of the other of the other ot	117	m length and 4.8-cm width	l 11 May 2017 Il growth observed rrounding the wound area ed with closed wound on	l May 2017	ed with a scar on 14 Nov	was not found on 8 Nov 17 -cm length and 0.5-cm idth on 14 Nov 2016 ed with a scar on 8 Nov		
	n Exp	m Mdd	y se A A	ul II		Resi	hi Te	5	h 4.1-	I Alga Su Hea	1	Hea	Scar 20 11.9 Hea	ă	
Location of injury	Fourth vertebral scute or caranace	Third vertebral to third costal scutes	First to third vertebral scutes	First to second left costs	scutes Right supracaudal scute	Right supracaudal scute	First to third vertebral	scutes	Third left costal to fourt	verteoral scutes Fourth and last vertebra scutes		Second vertebral scute	Third costal scute		Fifth vertebral scute Both supracaudals
Healing stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	•	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage		Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage		Proliferation stage Proliferation stage
Width (cm)	6.7	6.8	1.2	7.7	4.0		1.0		6.1	2.5		0.4	2.0		$3.2 \\ 1.1$
Length (cm)	10.9	16.0	10.1	17.3	5.4	5.3	20.1		16.5	12.9		2.9	13.2		14.3 14.0
Type of injury	No injury Blunt force wound	No injury Blunt force wound	No injury Parallel laceration	Laceration	Laceration No injury	Laceration	Laceration		No injury No injury Laceration	Laceration	No injury No injurv	Laceration	Laceration	No injury No injury No injury	No injury Parallel laceration Parallel laceration
No. of days after previous capture	354	181	722			196	174		181 725		370	727		190 359	175 371
Date of capture	23 May 2013 12 May 2014	10 May 2017 7 Nov 2017	20 May 2015 11 May 2017		12 Nov 2015	17 Nov 2016	10 May 2017		7 Nov 2017 12 May 2014 6 May 2016		11 May 2017 12 May 2014	8 May 2016		14 Nov 2016 8 Nov 2017 21 Nov 2013	15 May 2014 21 May 2015
Capture no.	1	7 - 7	77		0	1 M	4	ı	n – 0		π-	0		ω4-	00
SCL	42.0	48.1	50.7		41.1				58.3		77.0			85.4	
Size class	Juvenile	Juvenile	Juvenile		Juvenile				Juvenile		Sub-adult			Sub-adult	
Turtle no.	-	0	\mathfrak{c}		4				Ś		9			L	
	Turtle Capture Date of No. of days after Length Width no. Size class SCL no. capture previous capture Type of injury (cm) (cm) Healing stage Location of injury Additional information	Turtle Capture Date of No. of days after No. of days after Length Width Width no. Size class SCL no. capture previous capture Type of injury (cm) (cm) Healing stage Location of injury Additional information 1 Juvenile 42.0 1 23 May 2013 S54 No injury 6.7 Proliferation stage Fourth vertebral scute on Exposed internal tissues	Turtle no.Capture Size classDate of captureNo. of days after Type of injuryLength (cm)Width (cm)Healing stageLocation of injuryAdditional information1Juvenile42.0123 May 2013354No injury0.06.7Proliferation stageFourth vertebral scute onExposed internal tissues2Juvenile48.1110 May 2017181No injury6.06.8Proliferation stageFourth vertebral scute onExposed internal tissues2Juvenile48.110 May 2017181No injury6.06.8Proliferation stageFourth vertebral to thirdAdditional multiple short stripe	TurtleTurtleCaptureDate of no.No. of days afterType of injuryLength (cm)Width (cm)Healing stageLocation of injuryAdditional information1Juvenile 42.0 1 23 May 2013 354 No injuryNo injury (cm)	Turtle Start le bit Date of pure inpurion No. of days after interval setter Length (cm) Width indication stage Location of injury Additional information 1 Juvenile 42.0 1 23 May 2013 354 No injury (cm) (cm) (cm) (cm) (cm) (cm) (cm) Additional information 2 Juvenile 42.0 1 20 May 2013 354 No injury 10.0 6.7 Proliferation stage Fourth vertebral scute on Exposed internal tissues 2 Juvenile 48.1 1 10 May 2017 181 No injury 16.0 6.8 Proliferation stage Fourth vertebral scute on Exposed internal tissues 3 Juvenile 50.7 1 20 May 2017 181 No injury 10.1 1.2 Proliferation stage Fourth vertebral scute on Exposed internal tissues 3 Juvenile 50.7 1 20 May 2017 72 No injury 10.1 1.2 Proliferation stage Finth vertebral to thind Additional mul	TurtleCaptureDate of spureNo. of days after previous captureLengthWidth (cm)Healing stageLocation of injuryAdditional information1Juvenile42.0123 May 2013354No injuryNo <injury< td="">(cm)(cm)(cm)Foliferation stageLocation of injuryAdditional information2Juvenile48.1110 May 2017181No injury10.96.7Proliferation stageFourth vertebral scute onExposed internal tissues3Juvenile50.7120 May 2017181Blunt force wound16.06.8Proliferation stageThird vertebral scute onAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile50.7120 May 2017181No injury10.11.2Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebral to thirdAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile50.7120 May 2017722No injury10.11.2Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralA series of 5 lacerations4Juvenile41.11112 Nov 2015722No injury5.44.0Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralA series of 5 lacerations5Iuvenile41.11110 Nov 2015722No injury5.44.0Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralA series of 5 lacerations4Juvenile41.11117.7Proliferation stageFirst to third</injury<>	Turtle bookCapture biokDate of captureNo. of days after pervious captureTope of injury (cm)Length (cm)Width (cm)Healing stageLocation of injuryAdditional information1Juvenile42.01223 May 2013354No injury (cm)10.96.7Proliferation stageFourth vertebral scute on carapaceAdditional information2Juvenile48.1110 May 2017181No injury (cm)16.06.8Proliferation stageFourth vertebral scute on carapaceAdditional multiple short stripe carapace3Juvenile50.7120 May 2017181No injury (cm)16.06.8Proliferation stageFourth vertebral to thirdAdditional multiple short stripe carapace3Juvenile50.7120 May 2017181No injury10.112.0Proliferation stageFourth vertebral to thirdAdditional multiple short stripe carapace3Juvenile50.7120 May 2017181No injury10.112.0Proliferation stageFourth vertebral to thirdAdditional multiple short stripe carapace4Juvenile50.7120 May 201772.2No injury77.3Proliferation stageFinct vertebral to thirdA secies of 5 lacerations5Auvenile41.1112 Nov 201677.377.377.3Proliferation stageFist to strond left costal4Juvenile41.11	Turtle No.Capture Size classDate of captureNo. of days after previous captureLength Type of injuryLength (cm)Healing stageLocation of injuryAdditional information1Juvenile42.01212.May 2013354No injuryNo injury(cm)(cm)(cm)Additional information2Juvenile48.11110May 2017181No injuryNo injury(cm)6.3Proliferation stageFourth vertebral scute onExposed internal tissues3Juvenile50.71210May 2017181No injuryNo injury(cm)10.96.7Proliferation stageFirst to thirdAdditional untiple short stripe3Juvenile50.71211 May 2017181No injuryNo injuryNo injuryAdditional untiple short stripe3Juvenile50.71220May 20157.2No injury5.44.0Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralAdditional information3Juvenile41.1112.Nov 201617.37.7Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralAdditional unber strute4Juvenile41.1112.Nov 201617.35.44.0Proliferation stageFirst to second left costal5S injury5.3Additional struteScatta struteScatta struteScatta strute6Juvenile4.11112.Nov 2	Turtle bio.Start CaptureDate of captureNo. of days after previous captureType of injuryLength (cm)Length (cm)Width (cm)Location of injuryAdditional information1Juvenile42.01223 May 201335.4Blunt force wound10.96.7Poliferation stageFurth vertebral scute onAdditional information2Juvenile48.1110 May 201718.1Blunt force wound16.06.8Proliferation stageFurth vertebral scute onExposed internal tissues3Juvenile50.71210 May 2017722No injury10.11.2Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebral scute onAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile50.7121120 May 2017722No injury7.3Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile41.11220 May 2015722No injury5.44.0Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile41.1112 Nov 201617.37.37.3Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile41.11112 Nov 201617.37.3Proliferation stageFirst to third vertebralAdditional multiple short stripe4Juvenile41.1111Nov 2016<	TurtleTurtle $\frac{1}{500}$ $\frac{1}{60}$ No. of days after pervious expure $\frac{1}{7}$ $\frac{1}{600}$ $\frac{1}{600}$ $\frac{1}{600}$ $\frac{1}{600}$ $\frac{1}{600}$ $\frac{1}{600}$ $\frac{1}{6000}$ $\frac{1}{60000}$ $\frac{1}{600000}$ $\frac{1}{600000000000000000000000000000000000$	Turble io.Size is capture oupureDate of capture is captureNo. iguy is captureLength is (m)Length is (m)With is (m)Healing stageLocation of njuryAdditional information1Juvenile42.0134342013354No. iguy iguy06. iguy(m)(m)(m)Additional information2Juvenile48.11(n)May 201718.1No. iguy iguyNo. iguy iguyNo. iguy(m)(m)Additional information3Juvenile50.712(m)No. 201718.1No iguy iguy10.11.2Proliferation stageFirst to states constal scatesAdditional information3Juvenile50.712211.Nay 201718.1No iguy iguy10.11.2Proliferation stageFirst to statesAdditional information4Juvenile4.1.12211.Nay 2017722No iguy iguy5.44.0Proliferation stageFirst to states5.55.54Juvenile4.12317.Nov 201617.37.7Proliferation stageFirst to states5.55.5States5.55Juvenile17.317.7Proliferation stageFirst to states5.55.1Additional motion6Juvenile4.1.121111.27.0Proliferation stageFirst to states5.1Add	Turble io.Capture is low of day after operiorNo. of day after is provious capture operiorTurple icunoCapture icon of njuyDate of njuyMotional information1Juvenile4.0122May 20133.4No injuyCorrol6.07Poliferation stage carpanePontion of njuyMotional information2Juvenile4.1110.04x/2017181No injuy10.96.7Poliferation stagePontiv vertebral to thirdAdditional information3Juvenile5.0.111110.11.2Poliferation stagePrint vertebral to third vertebralAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile5.0.11111120043/01512.2No injuyAdditional multiple short stripe3Juvenile5.0.111112210.04x/201512.32No injuy4Juvenile4.1.11122Nay 201512.3No injuyNo injuyNo injuy5Juvenile4.1.111122No 201512.3No 2015No 2015No 20156Juvenile8.1.11111111No 2015No 2015No 20156Juvenile8.1.11111111No 2015No 2015No 20157Juvenile8.31	Turble inc. Cuptor Due of biology Due of biology Due of biology Due of biology Cuptor of biology Additional information 1 Juvenile 42.0 1 3.4 wy 2013 354 No injury support 100 6.7 Poliferation stage Fourth venethral scale or constance. Additional information 2 Juvenile 43.1 1 9.0 wy 2017 181 No injury support 100 6.3 Poliferation stage Fourth venethral scale or constance. Additional infigue store states 3 Juvenile 50.1 1 20 No jnjury support 101 12 Poliferation stage Franth venethral scale or constance. Additional infigue store states 3 Juvenile 50.1 1 20 No jnjury support 101 12 Poliferation stage Franth venethral scale or support Acris of 5 lacerations 4 Juvenile 50.1 1 1 12 Poliferation stage Franth venethral scale Acris of 5 lacerations 4 Juvenile 50.1 1	Turble 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 10Capture 	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

with evidence of necrosis and vascularizations on the wounds (Mettee 2013), the only exceptions being the 2 maturation stage injuries found on Turtle 8 (Fig. 1A–B) on 15 May 2014. The width of the injury on Turtle 4 (captured on 17 November 2016) could not be measured. The injury was characterized as a laceration caused by a propeller cut, resulting in a broken right supracaudal scute. Hence, only the length of the laceration was taken.

We suspect that the multiple short stripe marks on the carapace of Turtle 2 (Table 1) were scars that resulted from healed boat strike injuries. Of the 8 turtles, Turtle 3 was unique among them in that it had a series of 5 parallel lacerations (Table 1). Algal growth was only seen on the wounded area on the carapace of Turtle 5 among all the documented green turtles (Table 1). This growth might have been due to a hemorrhage from the open wound when the laceration was at an acute stage. Blood and exudate that leaked from the site of an injury can become nutrients that promote algal growth, which explains why algae were only found at the wound site. However, Turtle 8 was also found with 2 severe injuries (Figs. 2 and 3) that would have also resulted in open wounds, yet algal growth was absent in both cases.

All the boat strike injuries documented on these 8 turtles were only found on the carapace. The length of the injuries ranged from 2.9 to 51.0 cm, and the width ranged from 0.4 to 32.0 cm. The blunt force wound (injury D in Fig. 3) found on Turtle 8 (7 May 2016) was the largest boat strike injury (length: 51.0 cm; width: 32.0 cm) among all the documented injuries recorded in this study (Table 1).

There are very few studies on the healing process of injuries on marine animals in the wild. The healing process of a boat strike injury on a Great White Shark (Charcharodon carcharias) at Dyer Island (South Africa) was documented, and it took 11 mo for the injury to reach the maturation stage (Towner et al. 2012). A propeller injury sustained by a wild bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncates) in the cold waters off the Northumberland coast of England took 12 wks to heal (Bloom and Jager 1994). Visser and Fertl (2000) reported on the survival of a killer whale (Orcinus orca) in New Zealand with boat strike damage to its dorsal fin. The open wound on the dorsal fin had healed when it was seen again 365 d later. The time taken for the injuries to heal is highly dependent on the severity of the injuries. In our study, the healing of 8 boat strike injuries was documented on Turtles 4, 5, 6, and 8 (Tables 1 and 2).

Harr et al. (2011) reported about the death of a manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*) in Florida that resulted from infections in the chest cavity that originated from open wounds from boat propeller cuts. Turtles 1 to 8 had open wounds, which were vulnerable to pathogenic infections that can cause various diseases, even death. Mortality of loggerheads (*Caretta caretta*), greens, and leatherbacks (*Dermochelys coriacea*) were reported to be caused by pneumonia, hepatitis, meningitis, septicaemia,

	uo	a black	a black	arapace ed	l7 he	
	Additional informati	Healed and appeared as stripe on 7 May 2016	Healed and appeared as t string on 7 May 2016	Resulted in a fractured c Partially healed with clos	A piece of the fractured caranace nierced into the	wound
	Location of injury	First right costal scute	Third vertebral to third right costal scute	Second to fourth left costal scutes and fifth	vertebral scute Second left costal scute to second vertebral scute	
	Healing stage	Maturation stage	Maturation stage	Proliferation stage	Proliferation stage	
	Width (cm)	1.7	1.4	32.0	2.0	
	Length (cm)	17.0	42.0	51.0	28.0	
	Type of injury	Laceration	Laceration	Blunt force wound	Laceration	
	No. of days after previous capture			723	369	
	Date of capture	15 May 2014		7 May 2016	11 May 2017	
	Capture no.	1		7	\mathfrak{c}	
d.	SCL	92.2				
1. Continue	Size class	Adult female				
lable	l'urtle no.	8				



Figure 1. First capture of the adult female green turtle (Turtle 8) on 15 May 2014 showing 2 horizontal linear parallel wounds on its first lateral scute on the right (A) and third vertebral scute (B) of the carapace. Photos by Pushpa Palaniappan. (Color version is available online.)



Figure 2. Third capture of the adult female green turtle (Turtle 8) on 11 May 2017 showing a laceration on the left side of the second costal scute, extending to the second vertebral scute (C) evidently caused by a boat propeller. A piece of fractured carapace was found pierced into the wound (arrow). Photos by Pushpa Palaniappan. (Color version is available online.)



Figure 3. Second capture of the adult female green turtle (Turtle 8) on 7 May 2016 with a close-up view of the blunt force wound (D) (bottom photo). Photos by Pushpa Palaniappan. (Color version is available online.)

neoplasm, and bacterial (*Aeromonas hydrophila*, *Vibrio alginolyticus*, and *Staphylococcus* spp.) infections (Orós et al. 2005). In February 1986, a stranded leatherback turtle was found dead at Scamander River, Tasmania (Australia), and was reported as having been infected by *Vibrio damsela* through intestinal lesions prior to death (Obendorf et al. 1987). Manire et al. (2008) reported the presence of papillomaviruses in live loggerhead and green turtles with dermatitis found stranded off the coast of Florida. Physical examination of the documented turtles revealed no signs of dermatitis. Although there is a lack of literature on infections in boat strike injuries of sea turtles, we suspected that the injuries caused by boat strike incidents may eventually affect the turtles' health.

Boat strike incidents may cause long-term negative effects to the sea turtles. Ciccione et al. (2015) reported that a juvenile green turtle at Reunion Island (France) with a boat strike injury had the hind part of its carapace broken, and as a result its hind flippers were paralyzed. Because of the injuries, the turtle was weak and suffered buoyancy problems before it was sent for rehabilitation. The long-term effects of the boat strike injuries on these individual green turtles from Mabul Island are not known. Repeated captures of these turtles suggest that they are recovering from these injuries. The recaptured turtles in this study did not display signs of emaciation or health deterioration. The long-term effects caused by the fractured piece of carapace that pierced into the wound on injury C of Turtle 8 (Fig. 2) were not known, as a detailed health examination was not conducted on the turtle. The most recent captures of the adult female turtle (Turtle 8) and the juvenile turtle (Turtle 4) show that they may have adapted well even after being struck by

Turtle no.	Description	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Healing stage	Healing progress (no. of days later— description)
4	Laceration resulted in a broken right supracaudal	5.3	_	Proliferation stage	174—matured (sharp edges of the broken scute have healed but no sign of regeneration)
	Laceration on vertebral scutes	20.1	1.0	Proliferation stage	181—matured (healed with a scar)
5	Laceration on vertebral scutes	16.5	6.1	Proliferation stage	370—proliferation (reduced wound size: 4.1- cm length and 4.8-cm width)
	Laceration on vertebral scutes	12.9	2.5	Proliferation stage	370—proliferation (wound completely closed with keratinous tissues)
6	Laceration on vertebral scute	2.9	0.4	Proliferation stage	190—matured (healed with a scar) 549—matured (scar not visible)
	Laceration on costal scute	13.2	2.0	Proliferation stage	190—proliferation (reduced wound size: 11.9-cm length and 0.5-cm width) 549—matured (healed with a scar)
8	Laceration on costal scute	17.0	1.7	Maturation stage	723—matured (healed with a dark mark) 1092—matured (dark mark was less visible)
	Laceration on vertebral and costal scutes	42.0	1.4	Maturation stage	723—matured (healed with a dark mark) 1092—matured (dark mark was less visible)
	Blunt force wound on vertebral and costal scutes	51.0	32.0	Proliferation stage	369—proliferation (wound partially closed with keratinous tissue)

Table 2. Summary of the healing progress from different boat strike injuries and the duration (in days) to healing.

motorized boats numerous times, with no signs of emaciation or health deterioration evident.

In Sabah, green turtles are totally protected under the Wildlife Conservation Enactment 1997, but there is a lack of regulation on the speed of at-sea motorized vessels that could collide with the sea turtles. Law enforcement and proper management in other regions have proven to be effective in reducing the number of boat strike incidents involving marine animals. The mortality rate of Florida manatees (T. m. latirostris) has been reduced through the proper establishment and enforcement of speed limits to regulate boat speeds at restricted areas to a maximum allowable speed of 48 km/hr (Laist and Shaw 2006). A thorough impact assessment should be done in order to determine sensitive areas and allow proper implementation of speed zones. Slower boat speeds mean that both boat operators and manatees have longer time to react, thereby avoiding collisions and also reducing the impact of collisions (Calleson and Frohlich 2007). The key factors in reducing boat strike incidents on sea turtles and other marine animals are the proper management of boat traffic (Alves et al. 2013) and the enforced reduction in boat traveling speeds to 4 km/hr (Hazel et al. 2007), especially in areas where sea turtles spend more time, such as in shallow waters with a depth of ≤ 5 m (Hazel et al. 2009).

Resident sea turtles are regularly found at the established dive sites that are at the shallow reef areas (depth: ≤ 20 m) around Mabul Island, and we highly recommend that boat speeds be regulated there. Our results showed that 4 wild green turtles (Turtles 4, 5, 6, and 8) had evidence of healing from their boat strike injuries. Additionally, Turtle 8 had multiple encounters with boats over a 3-yr period. It is not possible to say how many turtles have died from their boat strike injuries in Mabul, as there has only been 1 reported juvenile turtle carcass

during the study period with a broken carapace, evidently caused by boat strike. The turtle was captured and tagged on 20 May 2015, and then 6 d later, it was found dead underwater.

As motorized boats are the main mode of transportation in Mabul Island, fast-moving boats can become a hazard to any marine life in these waters. We propose implementing boating speed limits in sensitive marine areas in Mabul Island, especially at shallow reef regions with a depth of ≤ 20 m, to avoid boat collisions with the marine turtles. Studies by Hazel et al. (2009) and Shimada et al. (2017) have shown that green turtles at Moreton Bay (Australia) mainly forage in shallow areas with a depth of \leq 5 m. Therefore, shallow reef areas with a depth of \leq 5 m should be the main priority area for the implementation of "go slow zones" in order to maximize the conservation efforts to protect foraging turtles and other marine animals in and around Mabul Island. Reef areas with a depth of \leq 20 m should also be considered as speed limit zones, as the foraging turtles frequently swim to the surface to breathe and are therefore vulnerable to boat strike injury.

Reduction of the moving speeds of motorized vessels has shown an increased success rate for the turtles to evade boats and avoid collision (Hazel et al. 2007). Slow-moving boats give swimming turtles more time to flee from oncoming boats. The speed of the rotating propeller can affect the severity of the boat strike injuries of sea turtles (Calleson and Frohlich 2007). In the event of a boat collision, the slow-moving boat with a slower-rotating propeller may cause less severe injuries to the sea turtle. Although the exact locations of the boat strike incidents on these turtles are not known, we were able to document the condition of the turtles' injuries during their recaptures as well as the healing progress of the injuries.

Acknowledgments. — The Mabul Sea Turtle Research and Conservation Project is sanctioned by the Sabah Ministry of Tourism, Culture, and Environment and is supported with the presence of the Minister during the launch of the fieldwork events as well as partial funding for these events. The project is sanctioned and partially funded by Universiti Malaysia Sabah. The project is also sanctioned by the Sabah Wildlife Department, which provided the turtle flipper tags used in this study. We thank Borneo Divers and Sea Sports (Sabah) Sdn. Bhd. for their very generous financial and logistical support provided over the entire duration of the fieldwork. Partial funding for this work from the Mabul World Turtle Day Conservation Fund and assistance from all the volunteers provided during data collection are gratefully acknowledged. Dr. Rafidah binti Othman is thanked for her clinical advice and assistance in describing the locations and characteristics of the injuries on the green turtles. Irwan bin Isnain from the Sabah Parks Board of Trustees is gratefully acknowledged for providing the nesting information on Turtle 8. The current study followed rules for ethical treatment of animals as per guidelines recognized by the American Society of Ichthyology and Herpetology (http://www.asih.org/sites/default/files/documents/ resources/guidelinesherpsresearch2004.pdf). We also thank the useful comments from Dr. Jeffrey Seminoff and 2 anonymous reviewers who helped to improve this manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- ALVES, M.D., SCHWAMBORN, R., BORGES, J.C., MARMONTEL, M., COSTA, A.F., SCHETTINI, C.A., AND ARAÚJO, M.E. 2013. Aerial survey of manatees, dolphins and sea turtles off northeastern Brazil: correlations with coastal features and human activities. Biological Conservation 161:91–100.
- BARCO, S., LAW, M., DRUMMOND, B., KOOPMAN, H., TRAPANI, C., REINHEIMER, S., ROSE, S., SWINGLE, W.M., AND WILLIARD, A. 2016. Loggerhead turtles killed by vessel and fishery interaction in Virginia, USA, are healthy prior to death. Marine Ecology Progress Series 555:221–234.
- BELZ, C.E., DARRIGRAN, G., NETTO, O.S.M., BEGER, W.A., AND JUNIOR, P.J.R. 2012. Analysis of four dispersion vectors in inland waters: the case of the invading bivalves in South America. Journal of Shellfish Research 31(3):777–784.
- BLOOM, P. AND JAGER, M. 1994. The injury and subsequent healing of a serious propeller strike to a wild bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*) resident in cold waters off the Northumberland coast of England. Aquatic Mammals 20.2: 59–64.
- BURGER, J. 1998. Effects of motorboats and personal watercraft on flight behaviour over a colony of common terns. Condor 100(3):528–534.
- CALLESON, C.S. AND FROHLICH, R.K. 2007. Slower boat speeds reduce risks to manatees. Endangered Species Research 3: 295–304.
- CARRILLO, M. AND RITTER, F. 2010. Increasing numbers of ship strikes in the Canary Islands: proposals for immediate action to reduce risk of vessel-whale collisions. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management 11(2):131–138.

- CICCIONE, S., JEAN, C., CARPENTIER, A., AND BARRET, M. 2015. Cause and healing of a sea turtle injury revealed by photoidentification. Indian Ocean Turtle Newsletter 21:10–12.
- DAVENPORT, J. AND DAVENPORT, J.L. 2006. The impact of tourism and personal leisure transport on coastal environments: a review. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 67(1–2):280– 292.
- DAWES, C.J., ANDORFER, J., ROSE, C., URANOWSKI, C., AND EHRINGER, N. 1997. Regrowth of the seagrass *Thassia testudinum* into propeller scars. Aquatic Botany 59:139–155.
- DENKINGER, J., PARRA, M., MUÑOZ, J.P., CARRASCO, C., MURILLO, J.C., ESPINOSA, E., RUBIANES, F., AND KOCH, V. 2013. Are boat strikes a threat to sea turtles in the Galapagos Marine Reserve? Ocean and Coastal Management 80:29–35.
- EGUCHI, T., SEMINOFF, J.A., LEROUX, R.A., DUTTON, P.H., AND DUTTON, D.L. 2010. Abundance and survival rates of green turtles in an urban environment: coexistence of humans and an endangered species. Marine Biology 157:1869–1877.
- HARDIMAN, N. AND BURGIN, S. 2010. Recreational impacts on the fauna of Australian coastal marine ecosystems. Journal of Environmental Management 91(11):2096–2108.
- HARR, K.E., REMBER R., GINN, P.E., LIGHTSEY, J., KELLER, M., REID, J., AND BONDE, R.K. 2011. Serum amyloid A (SAA) as a biomarker of chronic infection due to boat strike trauma in a free-ranging Florida manatee (*Trichechus manatus latirostris*) with incidental polycystic kidneys. Journal of Wildlife Diseases 47(4):1026–1031.
- HASHIM, N.A.N. AND JAAMAN, S.A. 2011. Boat effects on the behaviour of Indo-Pacific Humpback (*Sousa chinensis*) and Irrawaddy Dolphins (*Orcaella brevirostris*) in Cowie Bay, Sabah, Malaysia. Sains Malaysiana 40(12):1383–1392.
- HAZEL, J. AND GYURIS, E. 2006. Vessel-related mortality of sea turtles in Queensland, Australia. Wildlife Research 33:149– 154.
- HAZEL, J., LAWLER, I.R., AND HAMANN, M. 2009. Diving at the shallow end: green turtle behaviour in near-shore foraging habitat. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 371:84–92.
- HAZEL, J., LAWLER, I.R., MARSH, H., AND ROBSON, S. 2007. Vessel speed increases collision risk for the green turtle *Chelonia mydas*. Endangered Species Research 3:105–113.
- HODGSON, A.J. AND MARSH, H. 2007. Response of dugongs to boat traffic: the risk of disturbance and displacement. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 340:50–61.
- LAIST, D.W. AND SHAW, C. 2006. Preliminary evidence that boat speed restrictions reduce deaths of Florida manatees. Marine Mammal Science 22(2):472–479.
- MANIRE, C.A., STACY, B.A., KINSEL, M.J., DANIEL, H.T., ANDERSON, E.T., AND WELLEHAN, J.F., JR. 2008. Proliferative dermatitis in a loggerhead turtle, *Caretta caretta*, and a green turtle, *Chelonia mydas*, associated with novel papillomaviruses. Veterinary Microbiology 130:227–237.
- METTEE, N. 2013. Sea turtle wound management. 2013 Annual Meeting, Wider Caribbean Sea Turtle Conservation Network (WIDECAST), Baltimore, MD.
- MINCHIN, D., FLOERL, O., SAVINI, D., AND OCCHIPINTI-AMBROGI, A. 2006. Small craft and the spread of exotic species. In: Davenport, J. and Davenport, J.L. (Eds.). The Ecology of Transportation: Managing Mobility for the Environment. The Nn Ecosystem in Transition. New York: Springer, pp. 99–113.
- OBENDORF, D.L., CARSON, J., AND MCMANUS, T.J. 1987. Vibrio damsela infection in a stranded leatherback turtle (*Dermochelys coriacea*). Journal of Wildlife Diseases 23(4):666–668.

- ORÓS, J., TORRENT, A., CALABUIG, P., AND DÉNIZ, S. 2005. Diseases and causes of mortality among sea turtles stranded in the Canary Islands, Spain (1998–2001). Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 63:13–24.
- PALANIAPPAN, P. AND HAZIQ HARITH, A.H. 2017. Spatial site fidelity of sea turtles at a foraging ground in Mabul Island, Sabah, Malaysia. International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies 5(1):140–144.
- SHIMADA, T., LIMPUS, C., JONES, R., AND HAMANN, M. 2017. Aligning habitat use with management zoning to reduce vessel strike of sea turtles. Ocean and Coastal Management 142:163– 172.
- TOWNER, A., SMALE, M.J., AND JEWELL, O. 2012. Boat-strike wound healing in *Carcharodon carcharias*. In: Domeier, M.L. (Ed.). Global Perspective on the Biology and Life History of the White Shark. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, pp. 77–83.
- VERON, J.E.N., DEVANTIER, L.M., TURAK, E., GREEN, A.L., KININMONTH, S., STAFFORD-SMITH, M., AND PETERSON, N. 2011. The coral triangle. In: Dubinsky, Z. and Stambler, N.

(Eds.). Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition. New York: Springer Science+Business Media, pp. 47–55.

- VISSER, I.N. AND FERTL, D. 2000. Stranding, resighting, and boat strike of a killer whale (*Orcinus orca*) off New Zealand. Aquatic Mammals 26.3:232–240.
- WHITFIELD, A.K. AND BECKER, A. 2014. Impacts of recreational motorboats on fishers: a review. Marine Pollution Bulletin 83(1):24–31.
- WORK, P.A., SAPP, A.L., SCOTT, D.W., AND DODD, M.G. 2010. Influence of small vessel operation and propulsion system on loggerhead sea turtle injuries. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 393(1–2):168–175.

Received: 25 October 2018

Revised and Accepted: 11 March 2019

Published Online: 25 October 2019

Handling Editor: Jeffrey A. Seminoff